

Attachment D

Submissions

From: Reese Goh
Sent on: Thursday, March 14, 2024 10:27:42 AM

To: DASubmissions
Subject: FW: D/2024/129 [SEC=UNOFFICIAL]

Hi team,

The submission mistakenly went to the Sydney Your Say inbox. Much appreciated if you can attend to this please.

Many thanks,

Reese Goh
Planning Assessments



cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au



The City of Sydney acknowledges the Gadigal of the Eora nation as the Traditional Custodians of our local area.

From: Sydney Your Say
Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 9:48 AM
To: Reese Goh
Cc: Sydney Your Say
Subject: FW: D/2024/129 [SEC=UNOFFICIAL]

Hi Reese

For your consideration please see below. This submission has mistakenly come through to our inbox rather than the eplanning portal. Ref: D/2024/129
Could you please send acknowledgement to Mr Taylor-Pyke regarding his feedback?

Many thanks
Anne
Sydney Your Say team

From: [Robert.Taylor.Pyke](#)
Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 9:53 AM
To: [Sydney Your Say](#)
Subject: D/2024/129 [SEC=UNOFFICIAL]

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

UNOFFICIAL

Hi there,

I am writing to you with regarding the proposed placement of the toilet in the middle of the park in Erskineville between Charles and John streets.

Why place in the park – where people sit, your own Christmas tree is put up and people sit on the lawn.

The council has already asked for submissions for ideas for the small vacant space opposite – why not put the toilet there – which is much better suited for foot traffic.

With all the bars, hotels, and cafes on the southern footpath and the high traffic from King Street to the train station – I feel that placement is not in keeping with the amount of traffic.

I guess it's the advertising billboard that is driving the decision – however there is already one of those on the bus stop, which means we will be subjected to multiple light pollution on both sides of the footpath.

There is currently no advertising on the other side of the road, so the toilet would be best placed in the small area that currently no one uses.

Regards

Rob

Rob Taylor-Pyke Business Analyst | ACE CRM Team | Sydney | IP 22129

For all ACE queries, please check the Trade, Investment or Events Guides on the Hub, or contact [REDACTED] or ask your local BPCN on the discussion board [Discussion Board - Lists \(sharepoint.com\)](#)

From: Lauren Green Downing

Sent on: Thursday, March 14, 2024 10:50:38 PM

To: dasubmissions

Subject: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

This is a lovely park that if this submission is successful, will become a place where drugs are administered, the park will stink and it will rarely get used.

Please find a better location for something that will turn a nice park into a place you no longer want to visit.

From: D H

Sent on: Sunday, March 17, 2024 11:22:55 AM

To: dasubmissions

CC: clover Moore; jenny Leong

Subject: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

Hi There,

Despite 2 previous objections to this toilet being installed in Erskineville village I am frustrated to see that QMS continue to try and go against the majority of the village community and yet again select another inappropriate location.

Please find below reasons why we object to this next proposal;

- There is no need to provide an out of hours toilet in a small , local village such Erskineville despite agreements signed up between QMS advertising company and Sydney council this should not come at the visual eye sore of the village - there is no valid reason to support this business requirement other than a future revenue generation / advertising deal signed with QMS
- We note there are no similar toilets throughout Newtown / Alexandria, Waterloo , St Peters which has a much higher influx of people?
- This toilet location in a prime village greenspace will become a target for daily graffiti , casual sex & drug taking (as the constantly vandalised toilets in Sydney park have become - check records for constant damage - currently 2 toilets out of action due to repeated vandalism, graffiti)
- The space is located in the middle of one of our few, treasured green spaces where people relax and enjoy the green space & village views - placing a toilet in the middle of this is ridiculous - why not nearer the station where it will not become a feature and eye sore .
- These works are not minor in nature and will result in an adverse effect on heritage local impact and the Burren estate Heritage conversation area - this toilet block will stand out on the village green
- The visual impact of this structure in the middle of a park will be extensive from all viewing points
- Why would anyone now want to sit on a bench next to a toilet ?
- It is the main green area where the village Christmas tree is located and this toilet will affect the enjoyment and views of this from a number of viewpoints from the village (Figure 2 image clearly illustrate this in their submission)
- There is no requirement for an “ after hours “ toilet facility as the area is dominated by residents and many businesses provide toilet facilities during the day and into the early hours of the morning -there are also new toilets at the railway station provided with lift access
- Where is the evidence that backs up the claim that “ previous assessments carried out in consultation with the Council of City of Sydney and Outdoor Media Services identified the need for an additional accessible lavatory within the Erskineville Road Village Centre to provide an alternative facility available outside normal trading hours in the area “ - can you please provide a copy of this report and conclusions .
- This sounds like it based on pure high traffic flow areas to maximise the advertising revenue for QMS not actually working with residents - there is no requirement for this in the village
- The exterior materials of this toilet in no way attempt to blend in to the heritage feel of the village - it is the same “ cut & paste “ spec used in the city and takes no consideration for the heritage area & village atmosphere
- This revenue generation strategy by the city of Sydney may work in the City and large areas but it cannot be applied to one of our “Sydney - City of Villages “ locations such as Erskineville - a one size fits all plan is not acceptable

Please listen to the community that live here and do not be beholden to a commercial agreement with QMS whose only interest is revenue generation from advertising along high transport traffic areas - nothing to do what communities actually need.

I hope that we will have the support of Clover who I know is an avid protector of community green spaces -I look forward to your response .

Kind Regards
Dean Hargreaves

From: Daniel Demant

Sent on: Friday, March 15, 2024 6:36:22 PM

To: dasubmissions

Subject: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

As a resident of Erskineville, I would like to voice my support regarding the installation of the above public amenities given the limited availability of public toilets in the area as well as the generally limited availability of toilets for people living with disabilities.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent on: Monday, March 25, 2024 10:00:24 PM
To: dasubmissions
Subject: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: D/2024/129 – installation of automated public toilet by QMS Media Pty Ltd

Please keep my name and email address confidential when publishing.

I am a local resident writing to voice my concerns regarding the proposed installation of an automated public toilet at 116-126 Erskineville Road, Erskineville by the digital street furniture advertiser QMS Media.

I object to the proposal on the following grounds:

- This is the third attempt by the same digital advertising company to install a public toilet against the wishes of the local community. It is an abuse of the council's DA process and a waste of ratepayer funded resources for this business to repeatedly submit applications in the hope that something will "get past council", particularly given the strength of community opposition regarding prior proposals.
- The proposed siting is admittedly an improvement on previous locations, but nonetheless remains unsatisfactory. The Burren Estate is a heritage conservation area and any attempts to alter its heritage significance should be approached with caution. The proposed APT is an unaesthetic non-contextual intrusion into the fabric of the conservation area with a modern and ungainly bulk and is composed of materials which are reflected nowhere else in the Burren Estate. Whilst APTs have been installed elsewhere in the City of Sydney area, Erskineville Road is perhaps the only high street in the council which continues to retain its "village feel" (and very successfully despite the increased density resulting from the development of the Ashmore Estate and Park Sydney apartment blocks). Council should do its utmost to protect the unique heritage and character of the area, which is extremely rare and not easily replicated elsewhere.
- The Ellen Lawman lawn area is the location of council's annual Christmas tree, which is popular every year in the Erskineville community. Given the small size of the lawn area and the very large size of the Christmas tree, it would be difficult from a safety perspective and undesirable to co-locate the Erskineville Christmas tree next to a toilet. I have included a picture of the Christmas tree as an attachment to illustrate its scale and vibrancy. It does not appear council has identified any viable alternate sites where the Christmas tree could be located (most likely because no other such site exists).
- There are already existing public toilets at the Erskineville Town Hall, Erskineville Train Station, Budget Petrol Station and Erskineville Oval, so the proposed APT is of limited additional amenity (particularly during daylight hours). It is both feasible and more economical to focus on improved access hours at these sites. It is unclear why the supporting environmental documents to the QMS proposal so easily dismiss these possibilities.
- Based on the history of past APT sites in the City of Sydney and other local council areas, the proposed development will inevitably become a site for drug taking activity, sharps and other drug paraphernalia, and other nefarious elements. QMS Media has made no proposal to satisfactorily reduce this risk (other than "monitoring").

Thank you kindly for allowing me to comment on the proposed application.

Kind regards,

██████████



From: Reese Goh
Sent on: Tuesday, March 26, 2024 11:58:36 AM

To: DAsubmissions
Subject: FW: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

Hi team,

I noticed the customer's comments have been CC'd to the DA submissions address as well. Can you advise if this has been registered in our system please.

Many thanks in advance,
Reese

From: Amanda McDonald
Sent: Friday, March 22, 2024 12:20 PM
To: Reese Goh
Subject: FW: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

Hi Reese,

Please see below as FYI only. Response has been sent under OLM2024/001631-01.

Thank you,

Amanda McDonald



Town Hall House, 456 Kent Street, Sydney NSW 2000
GPO Box 1591 Sydney NSW 2001

Telephone: [+612 9265 9333](tel:+61292659333)
cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au



The City of Sydney acknowledges the Gadigal of the Eora nation as the Traditional Custodians of our local area.

From: Clover Moore
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2024 4:10 PM
To: OLM Reception Mailbox
Subject: FW: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

From: samantha jones
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2024 4:00 PM
Cc: DAsubmissions; [Clover Moore](#); [jenny Leong](#)
Subject: Re: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

Hi Clover,

I can see that the installation of the offending toilet in Erskineville has been once again added to the planning.

Please are you able to consider the village of Erskineville with the QMS "deal" being very ill thought out.

Firstly the bus stop at the top of prospect street block all the oncoming traffic and you are now forced to edge out of the street as the signage is too bright and too large. The intersection is now quite dangerous.

The toilet is now obviously part of the deal with QMS to over populate the Small village with unnecessary visual pollution.

My reasons for objecting to the toilet are as below. But my main objection is that it will create an unsafe space for women at night who could be targeted and will be vulnerable with such a 24 hour amenity. Vandalism is also a problem. Just witnessing the daily vandalism for the toilets (both finished and unfinished) in Sydney park is enough for me to realise that this is potentially the worst decision the Council has made to date affecting residents and business alike.

There have been two previous objections to the toilet. This is not a question of relocation. It is a question of not listening to the target audience. Please listen to the community who vote NO.

Please find below reasons why we object to this next proposal;

- There is no need to provide an out of hours toilet in a small , local village such Erskineville despite agreements signed up between QMS advertising company and Sydney council this should not come at the visual eye sore of the village - there is no valid reason to support this business requirement other than a future revenue generation / advertising deal signed with QMS
- There are **no similar toilets** throughout Newtown / Alexandria, Waterloo , St Peters which has a much higher influx of people?
- This toilet location in a prime village greenspace will become a target for daily graffiti , casual sex & drug taking and abuse.
- The space is located in the middle of one of our green spaces where people relax and enjoy the green space & village views - placing a toilet in the middle of this is ridiculous - why not nearer the station where it will not become a feature and eye sore .
- These works are not minor in nature and will result in an adverse effect on heritage local impact and the Burren estate Heritage conversation area - this toilet block will stand out on the village green
- The visual impact of this structure in the middle of a park will be extensive from all viewing points
- Why would anyone now want to sit on a bench next to a toilet ?
- It is the main green area where the village Christmas tree is located and this toilet will affect the enjoyment and views of this from a number of viewpoints from the village (Figure 2 image clearly illustrate this in their submission)
- There is no requirement for an " after hours " toilet facility as the area is dominated by residents and many businesses provide toilet facilities during the day and into the early hours of the morning -there are also new toilets at the railway station provided with lift access
- Where is the evidence that backs up the claim that " previous assessments carried out in consultation with the Council of City of Sydney and Outdoor Media Services identified the need for an additional accessible lavatory within the Erskineville Road Village Centre to provide an alternative facility available outside normal trading hours in the area " - can you please provide a copy of this report and conclusions .
- This sounds like it based on pure high traffic flow areas to maximise the advertising revenue for QMS not actually working with residents - there is no requirement for this in the village
- The exterior materials of this toilet in no way attempt to blend in to the heritage feel of the village - it is the same " cut & paste " spec used in the city and takes no consideration for the heritage area & village atmosphere
- This revenue generation strategy by the city of Sydney may work in the City and large areas but it cannot be applied to one of our "Sydney - City of Villages " locations such as Erskineville - a one size fits all plan is not acceptable

This requires a hard NO to the toilet in Erskineville. It is clearly NOT needed.

Protect our children. Protect our Women. Protect our community.

Kind Regards Samantha

From: Barbi Fraser

Sent on: Tuesday, March 26, 2024 2:57:05 PM

To: DAsubmissions

Subject: FW: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

From: samantha jones

Sent: Tuesday, March 26, 2024 2:44 PM

To: Planning Systems Admin

Subject: Re: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

Hi Bill,

I have been chatting to all of the people in the community and if the "employee level" wishes to chat to the businesses and community adversely affected by this decision, they would soon see that it is not a welcome addition.

Tolietts bring vermin, both animal and human. To ruin a greenspace for an advertising company is never a good decision.

Send the employees around the community. Enjoy the available green space. And realise the facility is not needed. Nor is the graffiti and crime.

Kind Regards Samantha

On 26 Mar 2024, at 12:41 pm, Planning Systems Admin wrote:

Thank you for your feedback

We will carefully consider the issues you have raised as part of our assessment.

For small developments, most decisions are made at an employee level. We'll let you know when a decision has been made and where you can get more information.

The Local Planning Panel determines applications for significant development. The Central Sydney Planning Committee determines applications over \$50 million. These meetings are open to the public in the Council Chambers at Sydney Town Hall. We'll let you know if the application will be considered at a meeting so you can attend and present your issues. We'll let you know the panel or committee's decision and where you can get more information.

Your feedback will not be kept confidential and will be made completely available on the City of Sydney's website, except for any identifying information you have already asked to be kept confidential. You do not need to reconfirm that these details be withheld.

If you were not aware of this and want some or all identifying information about you to be private, please email council@cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au and tell us what identifying information you want kept private. We will still publish your feedback but with information which may identify you withheld.

Feedback is routinely placed on the NSW Planning Portal. Even when your privacy is requested, personal information within these copies will be available in the portal to applicants and external agencies.

Bill MacKay
Manager Planning Assessments



cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au



The City of Sydney acknowledges the Gadigal of the Eora nation as the Traditional Custodians of our Local Area.

From: samantha jones
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2024 4:00 PM
Cc: DAsubmissions; Clover Moore; jenny Leong
Subject: Re: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

Hi Clover,

I can see that the installation of the offending toilet in Erskineville has been once again added to the planning.

Please are you able to consider the village of Erskineville with the QMS "deal" being very ill thought out.

Firstly the bus stop at the top of prospect street block all the oncoming traffic and you are now forced to edge out of the street as the signage is too bright and too large. The intersection is now quite dangerous.

The toilet is now obviously part of the deal with QMS to over populate the Small village with unnecessary visual pollution.

My reasons for objecting to the toilet are as below. But my main objection is that it will create an unsafe space for women at night who could be targeted and will be vulnerable with such a 24 hour amenity. Vandalism is also a problem. Just witnessing the daily vandalism for the toilets (both finished and unfinished) in Sydney park is enough for me to realise that this is potentially the worst decision the Council has made to date affecting residents and business alike.

There have been two previous objections to the toilet. This is not a question of relocation. It is a question of not listening to the target audience. Please listen to the community who vote NO.

Please find below reasons why we object to this next proposal;

- There is no need to provide an out of hours toilet in a small , local village such Erskineville despite agreements signed up between QMS advertising company and Sydney council this should not come at the visual eye sore of the village - there is no valid reason to support this business requirement other than a future revenue generation / advertising deal signed with QMS

- There are **no similar toilets** throughout Newtown / Alexandria, Waterloo , St Peters which has a much higher influx of people?
- This toilet location in a prime village greenspace will become a target for daily graffiti , casual sex & drug taking and abuse.
- The space is located in the middle of one of our green spaces where people relax and enjoy the green space & village views - placing a toilet in the middle of this is ridiculous - why not nearer the station where it will not become a feature and eye sore .
- These works are not minor in nature and will result in an adverse effect on heritage local impact and the Burren estate Heritage conversation area - this toilet block will stand out on the village green
- The visual impact of this structure in the middle of a park will be extensive from all viewing points
- Why would anyone now want to sit on a bench next to a toilet ?
- It is the main green area where the village Christmas tree is located and this toilet will affect the enjoyment and views of this from a number of viewpoints from the village (Figure 2 image clearly illustrate this in their submission)
- There is no requirement for an “ after hours “ toilet facility as the area is dominated by residents and many businesses provide toilet facilities during the day and into the early hours of the morning -there are also new toilets at the railway station provided with lift access
- Where is the evidence that backs up the claim that “ previous assessments carried out in consultation with the Council of City of Sydney and Outdoor Media Services identified the need for an additional accessible lavatory within the Erskineville Road Village Centre to provide an alternative facility available outside normal trading hours in the area “ - can you please provide a copy of this report and conclusions .
- This sounds like it based on pure high traffic flow areas to maximise the advertising revenue for QMS not actually working with residents - there is no requirement for this in the village
- The exterior materials of this toilet in no way attempt to blend in to the heritage feel of the village - it is the same “ cut & paste “ spec used in the city and takes no consideration for the heritage area & village atmosphere
- This revenue generation strategy by the city of Sydney may work in the City and large areas but it cannot be applied to one of our “Sydney - City of Villages “ locations such as Erskineville - a one size fits all plan is not acceptable

This requires a hard NO to the toilet in Erskineville. It is clearly NOT needed.

Protect our children. Protect our Women. Protect our community.

Kind Regards Samantha

This email and any files transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the addressee(s) and may contain information that is confidential or subject to legal privilege. If you receive this email and you are not the addressee (or responsible for delivery of the email to the addressee), please note that any copying, distribution or use of this email is prohibited and as such, please disregard the contents of the email, delete the email and notify the sender immediately.

From: Brent Taylor
Sent on: Friday, March 29, 2024 12:42:03 PM
To: dasubmissions
Subject: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

To whom it may concern

I strongly object to the application on the following grounds (in no particular order):

- 1 - Cost. At almost **half a million dollars** this is not how I want my council rates used. These funds need to be better spent on real community needs like public housing, rent relief and fighting climate change.
- 2 - Location. The planned location is right in the middle of existing green space. Every inch of green space must be preserved and not built upon. Space exists next to 9 Swanson St (bike racks never used) or 59 Erskineville Road (paved area adjacent to the church car park) that are already desolate and can be repurposed.
- 3 - Listen to the community's wishes!! These facilities have been proposed twice and twice rejected. Why is council pursuing this agenda?

Thank you
Brent Taylor
139 George St, Erskineville

From: Deborah Giblett
Sent on: Friday, March 29, 2024 1:51:17 PM
To: dasubmissions
Subject: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

I support the City of Sydney's commitment as outlined in the 2014 Public Toilet Strategy and find the 10-year delay in installing a public toilet in the Erskineville village is reprehensible.

This delay has contributed to an over 60% increase in the estimated cost from \$275,000 to \$413,000. This excessive cost is a concern, and I would appreciate an explanation as to why this is the case.

Further, given this high cost, would modifying the existing public toilets at the Erskineville Town Hall be a more economical and aesthetically acceptable solution?

While the proposed location makes sense because of its proximity to a bus stop and the village precinct, given the recent upgrade plan submitted for Ada Villa Terrace, is there a reason the Council did not consider this location for the facility?

Finally, I cannot locate it, or perhaps I missed a mention that the facility will incorporate access using the Master Locksmiths Access Key (MLAK) system to enable 24/7 disability access. Can this please be considered for inclusion in this and future projects?

Kind regards
Deborah Giblett
m: [REDACTED]



Virus-free. www.avast.com

From: Row Gibson

Sent on: Tuesday, April 2, 2024 2:28:44 PM

To: dasubmissions

Subject: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

Hello Reese,

I would like to object to the placement of a public toilet at the above address due to the following reasons:

1. It is a small green space and valued by the community.
2. By placing the toilet in this location, there is a reduction to the public's access to the land for recreation as very few people wish to sit or picnic near a public toilet.
3. The proposed position of the toilet would reduce the public's ability to sit in the shade of the trees in this park.
4. The community has not been consulted as to where a public toilet should be placed, rather this has been applied for by a developer. It is not where the community would greatly benefit from this.
5. There are ample toilets around the vicinity of this proposed location including at the Erskineville station and the various businesses open until late. Another one in this vicinity is really not required and should be considered.

Other ideas for where a public toilet could be placed are

1. Using the council carpark which adjoins the Erko Hotel. This would enable disabled access for both foot and car users.
2. The park opposite Woolworth Metro - Green Ban Park, along the railway line would alleviate the amenity being next to any houses and provide the same outcome as placing it in the current proposed position.
3. Harry Noble reserve - The community used this park greatly and placing the toilet in this park would be of great community value.

With kind regards,
Rowena Gibson

2 Burren Street,
Erskineville 2043

From: nicky barry
Sent on: Thursday, April 4, 2024 9:56:21 AM

To: council
Subject: Att: Reese Goh re D/2024/129

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

Hi

I would like to oppose the proposed toilet block in Erskineville road Erskineville for the following reasons.

1. There is no need for a toilet block in the Erskineville village precinct. We have 3 pubs and half a dozen restaurants that happily let people off the street use their facilities if they are in need. There is also toilets at Erskineville railway station.
2. The proposed location like the last one is not in a suitable area. It's a small park and lots of people like to sit in it enjoying the sun and wanderings of what is going on and sitting on the grass enjoying the space as a park. This will be greatly affected with a toilet block blocking the view and sunshine and reducing the area. No one will want to use it with a toilet block filling the space. With possible smells associated with them.
3. It will be an eyesore.
4. We are short of parkland as the density of the area increases, we need more public spaces not less.

So I hope you reject this proposal.

Kind regards
Nicky Barry
12 Burren st
Erskineville

From: Peter McGee
Sent on: Tuesday, April 9, 2024 11:27:51 PM
To: dasubmissions
Subject: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

The big question in relation to the proposed toilet block in the Ellen Lawman Rest Area Erskineville is 'why'? Why is the toilet facility necessary? There haven't been any public movements (no pun) demanding a toilet, no local marches, no letters to the editor. Nothing; not a squeak from the local community. The proposed toilet is unrequested and there are plenty of nearby toilets available to the public in the 3 nearby hotels and at the railway station. I have been a local resident for 40 years and here has not been a noticeable need for after hours toilets in the area.

Is this proposal a thinly disguised 'make work' gambit for the proposer? An income stream, not only in the building of the toilet box, but the development of an ongoing, multi-year annuity through the regular cleaning and maintenance of the toilet. That would make sense, especially if the proposer has a number of similar proposals in the pipeline, collectively the income could be a considerable council expense. There may well be a question of unnecessary expenditure of public fund which could be wasteful at best, and potentially fraudulent at worst.

Regarding the State of NSW and Department of Planning and Environment Guidelines

Small parks are a key amenity of a park-starved inner city. Small pocket parks, like the Ellen Lawman Rest Area, are important heritage assets that the community can enjoy. The proposed toilet block irrevocably impairs the heritage value of the park. The structure will significantly reduce the availability space the community enjoys. The buildings's impact goes well beyond the physical dimensions; there will be little enthusiasm to spread out a picnic blanket in the near proximity to a public toilet, the effective size of the small park will be considerably reduced at a cost to the community. People enjoying the remaining space in the park will have their view impaired as their view will be obstructed by the toilet block.

Some buildings might add to the visual amenity of a precinct, the Opera House, for example is a key cultural and visual asset on the edge of the harbour. Its safe to say the proposed toilet block is no Opera House, nor physical cultural addition, nor will it add to the beauty of the small park. Putting flowers on a wall of the shed-like structure will not suddenly transform it into the hanging gardens of Babylon, rather a poor attempt at lipstick on a pig.

The scale and materials are quite incompatible with the immediate area. The proposed structure has no obvious connection with any nearby buildings. It may be in keeping with other toilets scattered across the council area but its a long reach to say that something that looks like space debris has any obvious similarity to the local shopping area and park. Even the bus shelter is more sympathetic, in scale and material, to the local precinct.

The siting of the building is in a position that is completely and unnecessarily indiscreet. If a public toilet is an essential public facility for the village, site it in an area that is less noticeable, like behind the florist shop in that back corner of that park, or on the road closure next to the railway station. Simple and clear signage can direct the community to more discreet locations.

The proposed works may be part of a broader scope of works, but the question is 'does a disconnected, scattered scope of work justify the imposition of a bland toilet block in the Ellen Lawman Rest Area?' The answer is a clear no. The Ellen Lawman Rest Area intact, should remain solely a small park, a public recreation area, not a public defecation area.

The contemporary design of the toilet block would be clearly recognisable as an ugly, unnecessary new element within the heritage conservation area. There is no sympathy with the tin box structure to any of the nearby heritage buildings in the Burren Estate Heritage Conservation Area.

The assessment of potential heritage impacts by City Plan is at best a work of fiction; confected to make a silk purse out of a sow's ear. The conclusions only make sense in a superficial manner and don't reflect the reality of the damage that will be done to a convenient little village park. The loss of amenities to the community will far outweigh the alleged benefit.

As I noted in my earlier submission when the toilet block was initially proposed for the small parcel of land next to the Thai restaurant, the proposed is a shit idea and remains, in this incarnation, a shit idea.

Regards

Peter McGee
24 Gowrie Street Newtown.

Sent from my iPad

From: MER

Sent on: Wednesday, April 10, 2024 6:49:41 AM

To: dasubmissions

Subject: D/2024/129. Installation of a toilet in Ellen Lawman Rest Area. Attn Reese Goh.

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

Dear Reese Goh,

Re: D/2024/129. Installation of a toilet in Ellen Lawman Rest Area.

QMS just won't give up. Makes you wonder why. Do they stand to gain financially from the installation of this toilet? Profits (from ratepayers money) for installation and ongoing servicing? I'm definitely not happy with that idea.

I would like to strongly (more than strongly - I'm furious at the thought of it) object to the installation of a public toilet (APT) in Ellen Lawson Rest Area as per the following :

1. The area does not need a public toilet of this sort - there are numerous toilets in cafes, pubs etc easily accessible by the public within, and close by, to this area. There are other areas/parks where large numbers of people congregate that might be better served by a new APT.
2. It will block views from the park and through the park and up and across Erskineville Road to the village. It will severely compromise the use of the rest area as a park. This is completely and utterly unacceptable. It should remain an open space. This park is a popular spot for people to gather and sit and enjoy a picnic in the local context. QMS, showing a complete lack of empathy for local residents has decided to site their toilet right in the middle of the park. Instead of sprawling out on a picnic rug and gazing at the local village you will be picnicking next to a toilet with a view of the toilet.
3. I assume it will end up with advertising - although it's not shown I can't see the opportunity being wasted by the applicant and an S4.55 being lodged. The drawings show recesses at each end but with no notes explaining what these are. Why else would they be so keen to inflict this unwanted and unnecessary toilet on Erskineville. This is the company who thought nothing of blocking almost the entire width of a footpath in Darlinghurst? with an advertising sign.
4. Please keep this public park public, and open, and reject this development proposal. Stand there for a while and you will realise how inappropriate a spot it is. Put it somewhere where there are no toilet accessible facilities and where it will not have such a negative impact on the social and visual amenity of an area - such as down near the oval.

Apologies if I have sent this twice but the link on the planning portal won't work.

Yours wearily
Mark Raddatz
12 Burren Street Erskineville
134 Erskineville Road (owner)

From: MER
Sent on: Wednesday, April 10, 2024 6:39:27 AM
To: City of Sydney
Subject: D/2024/129. Installation of a toilet in Ellen Lawman Rest Area.

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

To whom it may concern,

Re: D/2024/129. Installation of a toilet in Ellen Lawman Rest Area.

QMS just won't give up. Makes you wonder why. Do they stand to gain financially from the installation of this toilet? Profits (from ratepayers money) for installation and ongoing servicing? I'm definitely not happy with that idea.

I would like to strongly (more than strongly - I'm furious at the thought of it) object to the installation of a public toilet (APT) in Ellen Lawson Rest Area as per the following :

1. The area does not need a public toilet of this sort - there are numerous toilets in cafes, pubs etc easily accessible by the public within, and close by, to this area. There are other areas/parks where large numbers of people congregate that might be better served by a new APT.
2. It will block views from the park and through the park and up and across Erskineville Road to the village. It will severely compromise the use of the rest area as a park. This is completely and utterly unacceptable. It should remain an open space. This park is a popular spot for people to gather and sit and enjoy a picnic in the local context. QMS, showing a complete lack of empathy for local residents has decided to site their toilet right in the middle of the park. Instead of sprawling out on a picnic rug and gazing at the local village you will be picnicking next to a toilet with a view of the toilet.
3. I assume it will end up with advertising - although it's not shown I can't see the opportunity being wasted by the applicant and an \$4.55 being lodged. The drawings show recesses at each end but with no notes explaining what these are. Why else would they be so keen to inflict this unwanted and unnecessary toilet on Erskineville. This is the company who thought nothing of blocking almost the entire width of a footpath in Darlinghurst? with an advertising sign.
4. Please keep this public park public, and open, and reject this development proposal. Stand there for a while and you will realise how inappropriate a spot it is. Put it somewhere where there are no toilet accessible facilities and where it will not have such a negative impact on the social and visual amenity of an area - such as down near the oval.

Yours wearily
Mark Raddatz
12 Burren Street Erskineville
134 Erskineville Road (owner)

From: Elizabeth Hewson

Sent on: Thursday, April 11, 2024 10:03:15 AM

To: dasubmissions

Subject: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

Hi Council,

An absolutely awful placement for these toilets. Could you pick a worse spot!? This little park is enjoyed by the community. We love the tree that goes up at Christmas and often sit down at the park enjoying the atmosphere of the village. Why would you ruin it with a public toilet?!

There are so many other spots to put a toilet - opposite erko station near clothing bin?! Away from parks and children! The empty space between the church and where that lovely tree got pulled down. Outside of town hall!!! Please reconsider this position. What a dreadful thing to do for the community.

Kind regards

Elizabeth Hewson
Marketing & Brand Manager

From: Sarah Tantum
Sent on: Thursday, April 11, 2024 9:45:59 AM
To: dasubmissions
Subject: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

Dear Reese,

I am writing to oppose the development of a public toilet in Erskineville park on Erskineville road.

* This park is a place for gathering and sharing of green space, of which there is very little in our community. People picnic in this park, my children eat ice creams on the park bench, the annual Christmas tree is erected in this park. All of which bring enjoyment to my family and others in the area. Building a PUBLIC TOILET in this space - smack bang in the middle - is unsightly and not keeping with the aesthetics of the area. It will deter people away from the pleasant green space, force the relocation of the Christmas tree (who wants to be enjoy a glorious festive tree next to a stinky toilet) and simply be an awful eyesore. It is a complete separation of the environment it is proposed to be in. A more fitting space would be the built up seating area next to the Erskineville Anglican church on Erskineville road. It would fit within the built up environment rather than completely ruining beautiful green space.

* The park already attracts unsavoury people. I walk past this park multiple times a day as my child attends daycare at Tiny Sprout on John St. The toilet will attract people who want to use it for other purposes. Having a permanent structure gives reason to hang about and loiter.

* It will create a safety risk. Late at night, it creates a space where someone could be forced into and abused. There are minimal people around late at night, so it will create opportunities for people to attack with ease and speed. It also doesn't help that there is a halfway house at the other end of Charles Street (I am all too familiar with this establishment as I used to own 24 Charles st and experienced the occupants on a daily basis). So there are people in the area who look for opportunities to take advantage of others. A small space where you can drag someone in and lock them away temporarily is a perfect space for attacks to happen.

* The stench and hygiene impact. It will, despite reports funded by the manufacturer, inevitably smell. This is a primary and extreme concern of mine. The stench and unhygienic risk impacts Tiny Sprout daycare where my daughter and other small children attend everyday. It is less than 30 metres from this structure. To play, eat, sleep so close to a public toilet is utterly disgusting. Not to mention the people dining at the Thai restaurant across the road. This needs to be factored in as it is a health risk.

Key takeaway -

- proximity to daycare and restaurant creating health risk
- Public safety risk
- is in no way in keeping with the surrounding environment and is in fact, a complete separation from it
- Disrupts the aesthetics of the environment and will ruin minimal green space that the community has to enjoy

Why do we need a toilet anyway? There is a train station across the road and a pub. Additionally, there are public toilets up the road in Newtown.

This proposal brings no value to the area.

Regards,

Sarah Tantum

Erskineville community member, property owner and mother of two children attending local daycare (in close proximity to the proposed toilet)

From: Des O'Gorman

Sent on: Thursday, April 11, 2024 12:45:45 PM

To: dasubmissions

Subject: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

Hi Reese

I was unable to comment on the application via your website , despite the dates suggesting it would still be open.

I'd like to object to the proposed toilet location. As a resident, it's not right that we lose prime street locations to what will be an eyesore, when there are other better locations possible. The small laneway beside the church for example is a dead space currently, or over near the clothes bins opposite Erko station is also ok put a toilet. It cannot be put on the grassy area as proposed. That is the centre of erskineville and is home to the Christmas tree and is one of very few green spaces currently.

Strongly hoping this is reconsidered and planned with local residents in mind rather than just the pub-drinkers.

Regards

Des

From: Gillian Mauchan

Sent on: Thursday, April 11, 2024 12:18:12 PM

To: dasubmissions

Subject: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

A public toilet in such a small park will severely impact the use of the park. We would be better serviced with a toilet in the park adjacent to Erko oval where families use it for birthday parties and the local schools use it for sports. Erko village has 3 pubs where people can go and use toilets. As a long term resident I have not observed a desperate need for a toilet in the Erko village area.

Thank you

Gillian Mauchan

From: Stephanie Bungard
Sent on: Thursday, April 11, 2024 1:16:50 PM
To: dasubmissions
Subject: RE: Submission D/2024/129 116-126 Erskineville Road, Erskineville

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

Attention: Reese Goh

Good afternoon,

I refer to the above application. Despite two prior applications for the installation of a public toilet in Erskineville village being denied, we find QMS trying for a third time. This is despite the majority of residents objecting to it previously. The location, once again, is totally inappropriate as well.

My objections to the current proposal are as follows -

- Erskineville is not a bustling 24/7 economy and, as such, there is no need to provide an out of hours toilet in our village - there are plenty of bathroom options available - the train station as well as the local pubs, restaurants and cafes - during the day and well into the evening should they be needed
- the first DA submission for the toilet argued against taking up green space for construction but this submission now puts one in green space. The space suggested is small, is right in the centre of our village and backs on to a child care centre. It's a space that is enjoyed by many people who can sit there and relax and enjoy village views. The submission shows that the toilet will be front and centre of the park which blocks any views and also makes the view for any patrons in the bars and restaurants on the other side of the road unsightly
- public toilets, no matter their location, are always dirty, attract antisocial behaviour such as drug taking and are a regular target for graffiti. This is not something that our village should be exposed to
- the proposed structure does not in any way blend into the streetscape. It is just a "one size fits all" build that will stand out and ruin the aesthetic of the village which is part of a conservation area. The works are not minor and the guarantee that the established trees in the space will not be adversely affected is questionable
- where are the documents to support the claim that - "previous assessments carried out in consultation with the Council of City of Sydney and Outdoor Media Services identified the need for an additional accessible toilet within the Erskineville Road Village Centre to provide an alternative facility available outside normal trading hours in the area". What evidence is there for this? We are a small village consisting mainly of family homes. Surely this type of facility would be better suited to Newtown or Enmore which have after hours economies
- the village Christmas tree is located in the proposed space at the appropriate time of year and this toilet will affect the enjoyment and views of this from a number of viewpoints from the village

As suggested in the two previous objection campaigns, a toilet would be much better suited to Harry Noble Reserve. It's a large space that is well out of the village and has BBQ, sport and playground facilities but no public amenities.

Please listen to the local community and don't ignore what it does and doesn't want for the village in which they live. Acting in the best interests of a large advertising company whose only interest is in generating revenue from advertising in high traffic areas no matter their location does not serve the needs of the community you represent.

Regards
Stephanie Bungard

From: Gidge G
Sent on: Thursday, April 11, 2024 3:33:16 PM
To: council
Subject: D/2024/129 -116-126 Erskineville Rd

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

Dear City of Sydney,

I wish to submit my objection to the council on the proposed site for a public toilet at the Ellen Lawman Park 116-126 Erskineville Rd, Erskineville.
D/2024/129.

In my opinion the toilet should be located closer to the train station. There are 2 parks. The park right next door to the station and directly across the road. The proposed site is heavily utilised by locals and visitors lazing in the sun, enjoying a morning coffee chatting with friends and picnicking. I feel the toilet will reduce this space to dead space. After all no one wants to sit by a public toilet! I live in John Street and I do not wish to be confronted by an unsightly box that emanates toilet smells every time I walk past. I also believe it will encourage undesirable activities at the top of the street. I cannot stress enough how strongly I am opposed to this proposal. If there is a supposed need for a public toilet I propose that another site in the vicinity be considered.

Regards
Karen Starch

From: Sophie Sweeney

Sent on: Thursday, April 11, 2024 4:43:05 PM

To: dasubmissions

Subject: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

Hello,

I am writing to you about the proposal for the public toilet in Ellen Lawman Rest Area in Erskineville. This area is regularly used and enjoyed by the community and placing a public toilet here will negatively affect the use of this space.

Has council considered placing the toilet in Swanson Street Reserve (on the corner of Swanson Street, Railway Parade and Equity Lane)? This area rarely seems to be used by the community, and it is also significantly larger than Ellen Lawman Rest Area, meaning that the public toilet would have less of a negative impact on the surrounding area, while remaining conveniently located for public use.

Thank you for taking the time to consider this suggestion,

Sophie Sweeney

From: Alisa Sannikova [NRA]

Sent on: Thursday, April 11, 2024 4:46:51 PM

To: dasubmissions

Subject: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

Dear City of Sydney,

I write in response to the DA proposing a public toilet be installed in a park near Erskineville Station.

I admit to being baffled by the nature of the Statement of Environmental Effects. Table 3 provides an assessment against the various Zone Objectives, where the assessment team seems to misrepresent the level of compliance with a whole series of objectives as follows:

To enable land to be used for public open space or recreational purposes – rated as complies, despite the fact that public open space is objectively reduced from what currently exists and significantly affects the degree of recreational use possible in the park.

To protect and enhance the natural environment for recreational purposes – rated as complies, despite destroying existing vegetation cover of the open space, having a risk of damaging the trees, and making that portion of space unavailable to recreational purposes rather than enhanced.

To retain and promote access by members of the public to areas in the public domain including recreation facilities and waterways and other natural features – rated as complies, despite reducing access to the natural features of the park and blocking sightlines through the green space.

It makes zero sense to destroy preciously limited parkland for the purposes of this installation when there is plentiful alternative public land at this location that is being underutilised – namely, for the private storage of multi-tonne hunks of metal in a dense urban space well served by public transport. The elimination of a single car space, either kerbside or at the nearby car park, for the placement of this toilet would actually enhance the streetscape by providing much-needed traffic calming in a high foot traffic area, as well as enhancing the green space through addition of the green walls in a currently concreted environment, while preserving the integrity of the park in its full size.

Best regards,
Alisa Sannikova

From: Alexander Ocias

Sent on: Thursday, April 11, 2024 3:58:38 PM

To: dasubmissions

Subject: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

I am writing to you in response to DA/2024/129, regarding the proposal to install a toilet in the Ellen Lawman Rest Area.

I am opposed to the council sacrificing our critical public green space to accommodate QMS Media's proposal. The public amenity of parks and open spaces is incredibly valuable and difficult to recover when lost. The Ellen Lawman Rest Area has been an iconic gathering point for locals, and the value and utility of the space would be drastically diminished by constructing the toilet in this location.

While the toilet itself would not occupy the entire area, its position would critically sabotage the landscaping of the area, by breaking up the open space, it would discourage people from entering, and create an area behind it where visitors would not feel safe to enter, risking a spiral of decreasing use.

It has been raised that a toilet is necessary in the area to accommodate people when the train station and pubs are closed at night, however Appendix 8 suggests the toilet will be closed at night time and re-open in the morning, meaning the toilet would be unable to fulfil this need.

Additionally, the noise created by the toilet announcing the entry of users would be a nuisance to the residents living near the rest area.

There is actually a great deal of space available to service the area with a toilet amenity, consider that we could simply replace one car parking space on Erskineville Road with the toilet. This would have the added benefit of traffic calming on a road where we have just had a serious crash with a pedestrian which has led to calls for the council to achieve this very goal.

If the council does not see replacing a parking space with the toilet as viable, then another option would be to utilise the town hall, and invest in making the existing toilet facilities there accessible in a way that meet the requirements for a public amenity.

Sincerely,
Alex Ocias

From: Andrew Chuter
Sent on: Thursday, April 11, 2024 6:56:04 PM
To: dasubmissions
Subject: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention Reese Goh

I write to object to this DA.

Although I acknowledge the importance of providing public toilet facilities in Erskineville village, I believe this could be most cost-effectively done by using the toilets that are already in place in the Erskineville Town Hall.

The space is secure and has an alarm system. All that would be needed for security is to ensure the internal doors to the rest of the building are securely locked.

A ramp could also be provided for mobility access.

Surely this could be implemented more cheaply than the \$413,000 cost of the automated public toilet.

Although there are a number of technological features of the automated public toilet, they aren't really necessary, when one considers that the regular toilets in Sydney Park are considered sufficient and are not of this automated type.

This automated public toilet also uses part of the pocket park and the impact of the new plumbing necessary on the root systems of the trees is unknown. I am opposed to losing green space in Erskineville when an alternative is feasible.



I think this DA should be held back until a full comparative costing of using the Town Hall toilets is done and made public, so that the community may be better able to judge the relative merits of both options.

Then a consultation can be held that gives the community several options to choose from.

Sincerely,

Andrew Chuter

60/20 Eve St

Erskineville NSW 2043

From: Julie Moffat
Thursday, April 11, 2024 7:00:02 PM
Sent on: dasubmissions
To: Submission - D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043 - Attention
Subject: Reese Goh

Attachments: IMG_0589.jpeg (474.41 KB)

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

Dear Council,

We are strongly opposed to losing green space within our limited pocket parks along Erskineville Road, and in Erskineville.

This is the 3rd application by QMS media to install an automated and talking toilet unit in our limited public spaces along Erskineville Road. Whatever is the commercial contract with Council and this company? The cost for the installation is ridiculously high and on many levels impractical!

The proposed location within our pocket park between Charles and John Streets leads to loss of valuable open green space available in our community. This location has been chosen by Council for the past few years as the site for the Council's Christmas tree. This site is regularly used by community for sitting, for taking food from local restaurants to sit and eat, for socialising. This pocket park site also adjoins a private residence that fronts both Charles and John Streets. An automated talking toilet unit will totally destroy the green space in this location, resulting in a loss of amenity to our community. And how could it be located on a site adjoining a private residence?

We live on Erskineville Road and there is no sewer line on Erskineville Road, meaning all digging to connect to the existing sewer line needs to be deep and extensive to connect to Baldwin Street. Where will the digging need to be to connect to existing sewer line locations to accommodate this proposed toilet unit?? Digging for the required plumbing will likely lead to the disturbance of established mature tree root systems & probably kill the trees. This green space pocket park has native fig trees and also native Riberry trees along the 3 perimeters, all with decent canopy, and this adds to the appeal of our pocket park. An automated talking toilet unit will not add to the appeal that exists in this green space.

Sensible solution: Less than 70 metres along Erskineville Road from this pocket park site is our Erskineville Town Hall. Our public building. In two locations within the Erskineville Town Hall there are pairs of public toilets, for men and women, unisex, baby change and wheelchair access. Photo of Erskineville Town Hall attached. External doors on the left lead directly to a foyer, and internal door on the left labelled "Gentlemen", internal door on the right labelled "Unisex/baby change, and wheelchair access". Beyond the foyer are doors to the main hall. These doors could easily be locked so as to be inaccessible to the public, making the existing public toilet facilities available to the public. The entry can easily be modified for disability access, as has been done recently to access the main entry doors.

We have emailed also to Councillors requesting a site visit to the Erskineville Town Hall, and see how sensible and effective this solution for public amenity is.

We do not believe we should be losing our open green spaces in pocket parks when it is not necessary.

Yours sincerely,

Julie and Ross Moffat

64 Erskineville Road

Erskineville 2043



From: Reese Goh
Sent on: Monday, April 15, 2024 8:33:29 AM
To: DASubmissions
Subject: FW: Query: D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043

Hi team,

This email has been sent directly to me.
Much appreciated if you can register this as submission please.

Many thanks,

Reese Goh
Planning Assessments
Telephone: +612 9246 7727
cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au
The City of Sydney acknowledges the Gadigal of the
Eora nation as the Traditional Custodians of our local area.

-----Original Message-----

From: James Dartnell
Sent: Friday, April 12, 2024 9:02 PM
To: Reese Goh
Subject: Query: D/2024/129 - 116-126 Erskineville Road ERSKINEVILLE NSW 2043

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

Why does the cost nearly half a million dollars? Not needed so close to restaurants across the road. Put toilets in Erskineville Station.

Sent from my iPhone

From: Min Xu
Sent on: Wednesday, April 10, 2024 4:19:29 PM
To: City of Sydney
Subject: Fw: Proposed development of Installation of public amenities facility

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

From: Min Xu
Sent: Saturday, April 6, 2024 12:34 PM
To: council
Subject: Proposed development of Installation of public amenities facility

Dear sir/ Madam:

Re: the above subject, with Reference Number No. D/2024/129

My name is Min. I live in 61 Charles Street. Next to the park.

Every day I pass by the little park on the Erskineville Road. I don't feel like the above proposal to install a public toilet is suitable.

It is because:

1. There are toilet facilities for the public to use closely nearby.

Such as (1) toilets in the Australia Hotel. walking one minute away from the park.

cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au (2) There are toilets for the public to use in the Erskineville Station. which takes 2 minutes' walking from the park.

2. Erskineville is a reservation area of a small village at the foot of Sydney city. We should reserve the small parks as beautiful as it is and as clean as possible. It is noticeable that there is a street rubbish bin on the pavement next to the Bus station and beside the park. It is very dirty, smelly and flies often fly around the rubbish bin. This is why people prefer to walk on the other side of shops, not the side with the Park. If a public toilet is installed in the little park. It will increase more flies and smell worse. Passengers will feel very uncomfortable while they are waiting for the bus at the bus station of Bus No. 355.

3. There are not many greens in the small suburb of Erskineville. On the contrary, there are many many people who are living in the little houses and units. There are not enough spaces for them indoor and they need more opportunities to move around outdoors. Therefore, the people in Erskineville love the little green parks.

4. The park mentioned above is in a good location and well-liked by residents in Erskineville. It is a small green. The installation of a public toilet will destroy the atmosphere for local people to enjoy the little green in the center of Erskineville.

Actually, the installation of a public toilet is not necessary in the above-mentioned area, because there are toilets nearby that people can use. There should be a need for it in the park next to the children's playground near the oval which owns a toilet, but it is always locked down. The public cannot use it. the other day I was busting but I could not find a toilet in that area. which was very awful. Since the council now have a funding for installing a public toilet. could it be installed in that area where there is a big space and big need for it.

The park in the center of Erskineville as mentioned above, needs more improvements for creating more settings

for people to have a peaceful and enjoyable rest. It will make the Erko shopping center area more beautiful and more attractive and more comfortable for local people to enjoy the area.

Thank you very much for reaching my letter. Hope you will take time to think about it. It will be greatly appreciated by the local people if you can take above into consideration.

With best regards!

From Min Xu

a local resident of 61 Charles street, Erskineville.

on behalf of residents living in Charles street and John Street. Erskineville.

*** This message is intended for the addressee named and may contain privileged information or confidential information or both. If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender and delete the message. ***

From: Reese Goh <RGoh@cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au> on behalf of Reese Goh
<RGoh@cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au> <Reese Goh <RGoh@cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au>>
Sent on: Wednesday, September 4, 2024 5:35:33 PM
To: DAsubmissions <DAsubmissions@cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au>
Subject: FW: D/2024/129 Objection - Erskineville toilet

Hi team,

Much appreciated if you can saved this as a submission for D/2024/129 please.

Thanks!
Reese

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, September 3, 2024 7:21 PM
To: Reese Goh <RGoh@cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au>
Subject: D/2024/129 Objection - Erskineville toilet

Caution: This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

Hi Reese

I was given your contact details by my local MP's office, in relation to the public toilet development proposal in Erskineville. I am a local resident in Erko.

I'd like to add my objection, on the basis of:

- 1) location: the proposal will ruin a rare green area and is too prominent for such a small village. There are better locations possible, like at the train station or across the road from the station near the vehicle entrance.
- 2) Justification: I am sceptical of the reason for the toilet. There are multiple local but businesses with accessible toilets. This sounds like an attempt to build revenue-generating advertising space using public toilets as the method.

Can you please consider my objection and advise of next steps for how local residents can escalate our objections?

Thanks
Des